

TOWN OF NORTH HAMPTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE SELECT BOARD

MINUTES APPROVED 07/27/2009

REGULAR MEETING – MONDAY JUNE 8, 2009 –7PM

MARY B HERBERT CONFERENCE ROOM

These minutes were prepared as a reasonable summary of the essential content of this

3

1

2

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

1	Call to	Ordor	Q-	Call	of i	tha.	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{A}}$	1
1.	Can to	Oraer	œ	Can	OI 1	tne	TO.	l

meeting, not as a transcription.

- 13 Chair Salomon called the meeting to order at 7:07 PM. Those in attendance were Selectman
- 14 Coutu and Selectman Rineman.
- 15 Chair Salomon asked Ms. Facella if the meeting had been properly posted, and she responded in
- the affirmative.
- 17 Chair Salomon invited those in the audience to join the Select Board in the Pledge of Allegiance
- if they wished to do so.

19 2. Presentation

- 20 2.1. Commendation for Ryan Quinn
- 21 Chair Salomon stated that Mr. Quinn had not arrived yet and the Select Board agreed to
- 22 proceed to the next item on the agenda and take up Mr. Quinn's commendation when he
- 23 arrived.
- 24 3. Consent Calendar¹
- 25 Motion by Selectman Coutu to accept the Consent Calendar. Seconded by Selectman
- 26 Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.

27 4. New Business

- 28 4.1. Cable Ascertainment Hearing Atty. K. Miller
- 29 Attorney Katherine Miller of the law firm of Donahue, Tucker & Ciandella reviewed the
- 30 Cable Franchise renewal process with the Select Board and stated that the Cable Franchise
- 31 renewal process is governed by Federal law.

THIS LOCATION IS HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE. THOSE WISHING TO ATTEND WHO ARE HEARING OR VISION IMPAIRED MAY MAKE THEIR NEEDS KNOWN BY CONTACTING THE AT TOWN HALL 964-8087

¹ These items are routine in nature and are approved without discussion. Should a member of the Board request to have an item removed, it shall be placed on the agenda under new business. The consent format is to expedite the business of the Board when adequate backup material has been provided.

Attorney Miller suggested that the process begin with a Franchise Compliance Audit with records being gathered and analyzed so that Attorney Miller can draft audit questions for Comcast. Attorney Miller noted that questions will also be drafted for Town officials and staff so that answers from Comcast and the Town can be compared to determine if there are areas of non-compliance or matters that warrant a follow-up.

Attorney Miller stated that there will be a Public Ascertainment Hearing and that one way to reach members of the community on the cable franchise work that the Town is

Attorney Miller stated that there will be a Public Ascertainment Hearing and that one way to reach members of the community on the cable franchise work that the Town is undertaking is to develop a short, two paragraph description of that work and use the information for a press release to local media as well as broadcast on Channel 22. It was also suggested by Attorney Miller that the Town should make an effort to work with school administration to identify persons who might provide valuable input in a public hearing.

Attorney Miller next spoke about the formal process required to begin a public ascertainment process, and that it had to begin within six (6) months of the renewal notice provided by the cable operator. North Hampton must begin its process by August 9, 2009. Failure to begin the public ascertainment process within those six months means that the Town would be unable to avail itself of the formal renewal process. For purposes of negotiating leverage, it is important that the Town reserve its rights under the formal process.

Attorney Miller stated that work should be done to craft a statement of the objectives of the committee and the presentation of that statement through local media and the community at large. Work in this area will involve consultation with municipal departments, all school departments, police and fire departments and other community or business groups which the committee identifies as having an interest in the telecommunications infrastructure of the Town.

Motion by Selectman Coutu to close the public hearing at 7:40 PM. Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.

- 4.2. Public Hearing on Unanticipated Revenue \$19,599.00 FEMA Reimbursement Motion by Selectman Coutu to accept the \$19,599.00 FEMA Reimbursement. Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.
- 4.3. Appointment of Zoning Board of Adjustment Alternate Member ZBA Recommendation: D. Buber

68 Motion by Selectman Rineman to appoint David Buber as an alternate member of 69 the ZBA for a term to expire May 31, 2012. Seconded by Selectman Coutu. Motion 70 carries 3-0. 71 4.4. Transferring Tax Deeded Properties to the Conservation Commission 72 Chair Salomon explained that there are four (4) pieces of property in North Hampton that 73 were tax deeded to the Town in 2007 and the Conservation Commission has asked for them 74 for conservation land. 75 Motion by Selectman Coutu to transfer the following parcels to the Conservation 76 Commission: Map 3-32; Map 15-8; Map 12-29; and Map 9-4. Seconded by Selectman 77 Rineman. 78 79 Chuck Gordon asked the Chair if the Conservation Commission was allowed to hold title of 80 the property or should it be the Town. 81 The Board decided that this should be reviewed before transferring and the Chair asked for 82 a motion to withdraw the motion. 83 84 Motion by Selectman Coutu to withdraw the motion to transfer the following 85 parcels to the Conservation Commission: Map 3-32; Map 15-8; Map 12-29; and Map 86 9-4. Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0. 87 88 Motion by Selectman Coutu to table item 4.4 until further information regarding 89 the transfer is received. Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0. 90 91 4.5. Request to Change Town Checking and Repo (Sweep) Accounts- P. Holbert 92 Town Treasurer Penny Holbert requested authorization from the Select Board to change the 93 Town's current checking account and overnight investment account structure at Citizen's 94 Bank. 95 The proposed change in accounts would be an interest earning checking account along with 96 a non-interest earning account for the compensating balance. Excess cash would continue to 97 be invested in certificates of deposit, and the proposed plan would increase the Town's 98 interest earnings on the balance needed for immediate expenditures. 99 The Town's current Sweep account is earning 0.18%, which is less than the proposed 100 change. The Town's compensating balance would remain at \$100,000.00. Motion by 101 Selectman Coutu to accept the recommendation of Town Treasurer Penny Holbert 102 to move the repo account to a checking with interest account at Citizens Bank. 103 Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.

104 105 4.6. Code of Ethics Complaint Against J. Arena – P. Simmons 106 Chair Salomon outlined the procedures for the Code of Ethics hearing that the Select Board 107 would be following. 108 109 Chair Salomon asked Selectmen Coutu and Rineman if they had reviewed the Rules of 110 Procedure and the changes that were adopted, and they both stated that they had. 111 Selectman Rineman asked Chair Salomon if the Board was addressing the first matter in 112 the complaint letter or would they be taking up the other five complaints addressed. 113 Chair Salomon stated that the letter that was received from Mr. Simmons came in after the 114 original complaint so only the first complaint would be taken up at the hearing if the other 115 Board members were in agreement. Selectman Coutu and Selectman Rineman both agreed. 116 117 Chair Salomon stated that the complainant will have five (5) minutes to present the 118 complaint. Chair Salomon stated that the Select Board may question the complainant 119 during any time of their argument, and questions will be limited to the complainant. 120 Chair Salomon stated that the respondent which the complaint is filed on will then have five 121 (5) minutes to explain their case, and that the Select Board may question the respondent 122 with questions being limited to the individual. 123 Chair Salomon stated that after hearing both arguments, the Select Board may call any 124 witnesses that it feels will provide relevant information to either side's argument. After the 125 Select Board has heard and read all relevant testimony and evidence it will begin 126 deliberations. The Select Board has the right to recess the proceedings at any time by a 127 majority vote. 128 Chair Salomon stated that after deliberations and any consultations with legal counsel the 129 Chair will call for a vote on the charges, and the Board shall conclude by recorded vote in accordance with RSA 91-A:3, II (c) that: 130 131 No action is appropriate because there is not clear and convincing evidence 132 that improper conduct occurred; or 133 There was improper conduct based on clear or convincing evidence, but such 134 conduct does not justify formal disciplinary action and should be resolved by 135 informal methods; or 136 There was improper conduct based upon clear and convincing evidence, and 137 the improper conduct was of a serious nature so as to warrant formal 138 disciplinary action, which includes but not limited to: 139 A public censure

140 • The S
142 regar
143 • 144 • •

146

147

148

149150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

The Select Board shall submit a summary report of the deliberations regarding the complaint and its findings as follows:

Removal of office pursuant to applicable state statutes

- The Town Administrator, to be kept on file
- The Town Clerk Tax Collector
- The Committee on which the individual charged serves
- ➤ If the Select Board determines that any filing of a complaint was in bad faith or is determined to be frivolous, the Board may take such actions as it deems appropriate against the complainant.

Selectman Coutu stated that one of the troubles he has with trying to adjudicate the matter is if the document is not precise and does not provide guidance. Selectman Coutu raised two technical points. The document is binding from a Code of Ethics point of view on municipal employees and officials, whether elected or appointed. Selectman Coutu stated that one of the factual questions that may arise should the Board go forward is whether or not Dr. Arena was speaking as a member of the Planning Board or as a private citizen. Selectman Coutu stated that point needs to be made clear.

Selectman Coutu stated that his second technical question is the issue of a phrase or term used to describe Mr. Simmons. He further stated in reviewing the Code of Ethics its prohibited nature seems to be codified in a whereas clause. Selectman Coutu read aloud the three whereas clauses in the Code of Ethics. He further stated that there is a drafting disconnect between the recital in the third whereas clause which goes to the issue of innuendos and derogatory comments to the actual prohibitions in the document. Selectman Coutu stated while the intent was to prohibit such conduct that is contained in the whereas clause, the document itself does not speak to the consequences arising from an allegation that meets the whereas clause as he recited. He further stated that it is a difficult issue between hearing a situation which is presumably going to fall in the whereas clause that refers to innuendos and derogatory comments, and then looking at the document and being able to point his finger and say that action, or that comment was an act the was specifically prohibited, precluded, or otherwise identified in this Code of Ethics as being improper conduct. If the document that the Board is being asked to render decision on, does not provide guidance that one can point to clearly and say the consequence of that act is Section "whatever," then he is unsure how the Board can adjudicate the matter. He further stated that he considers the document inconsistent

175 internally and the Board is being asked to make a substantiative decision on the 176 complaint as it was filed. 177 178 Selectman Rineman agreed with Selectman Coutu that the document was imperfect, but 179 stated that the people voted for it however imperfect it is. Until there is another vote on 180 a better document, the peoples wish is this document is used to settle a conflict. 181 182 Chair Salomon stated that he has struggled with the disconnect with the whereas clause. 183 He further stated that he does see some merit in Section 2.1 which states affirmatively 184 what the duties of the officials are, and therefore he does not have a jurisdictional 185 question. 186 Chair Salomon stated that he feels that the spirit of the Code of Ethics distinguishes 187 whether an official is speaking as a citizen or a board member. 188 189 Chair Salomon stated that when the document was being written, the impetus behind it 190 was a feeling that was strongly expressed that public officials should be held to a higher 191 standard, and if that is the case that higher standard is not selective. 192 193 Chair Salomon asked Selectman Rineman and Selectman Coutu if they felt the Board 194 had any jurisdiction over this part of the complaint. 195 196 Selectman Rineman stated that he agreed with Selectman Coutu that this was an 197 imperfect document, however the people voted by a large majority to approve the Code of 198 Ethics. 199 200 Selectman Coutu agreed with Selectman Rineman, but questioned the Chair as to the 201 matter as falling within the provisions of section 2.1. Selectman Coutu read from 202 section 2.1 and further stated that Dr. Arena was not speaking as a representative of the 203 planning board or any other board he may sit on, and therefore he disagrees with using 204 section 2.1 for this matter as it states clearly that it is a proviso as to how one conducts 205 themselves when acting in official capacity. 206 207 Chair Salomon asked for a motion on whether or not the Board has jurisdiction over this 208 matter, and also stated that the Chair will not vote unless it is to break a tie. 209

Motion by Selectman Rineman that the Board take jurisdiction of the matter before them regarding the Town's Code of Ethics. Seconded by Selectman Coutu for discussion. Chair Salomon stated that the motion has been moved and seconded based on the whereas clause that the Board has jurisdiction. Selectman Coutu stated that he would like the Board to vote that they are citing the whereas clause as the basis for jurisdiction of this matter. He further stated that if both Dr. Arena and Mr. Simmons, notwithstanding the technical difficulties of the document, are willing to go forward, then he felt that desire or right ought to prevail over the technical aspects that he had raised. He further stated that if both parties want to move forward and have the matter heard, then he would concede that jurisdiction is appropriate based on their interest. Chair Salomon polled Mr. Simmons and Dr. Arena and asked if they would like to go

Chair Salomon polled Mr. Simmons and Dr. Arena and asked if they would like to go forward. Both Mr. Simmons and Dr. Arena agreed.

Motion by Selectman Coutu to amend the motion to include that Mr. Simmons and Dr. Arena were polled and have asked to go forward. Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Amendment carries 2-0 with the Chair abstaining. Chair Salomon asked for a ,motion on the vote as amended. Motion carries 2-0 with the Chair abstaining.

Chair Salomon asked Selectman Coutu and Selectman Rineman if they felt the need to have a preliminary investigation which would delay the matter for another two weeks. Both Selectman Coutu and Selectman Rineman stated that this should move forward and no preliminary investigation is needed.

Motion by Selectman Rineman to only consider the complaint on the April 9, 2009 Select Board meeting comment by Dr. Arena. Seconded by Selectman Coutu. Selectman Coutu wanted it noted for the record that at a minimum the May 2007 matter predates the Code of Ethics and therefore is not relevant to this meeting. Selectman Rineman stated that there was a Code of Ethics that was adopted in 1995. Chair Salomon polled Mr. Simmons and Dr. Arena and asked if they wished to have all four complaints heard or just the April 9, 2009 complaint heard. Mr. Simmons and Dr. Arena agreed that all four complaints should be heard.

245 Motion by Selectman Coutu to waive a preliminary investigation in the matter 246 that is before the Board of Dr. Arena and Mr. Simmons. Seconded by 247 Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 2-0 with the Chair abstaining. 248 249 Chair Salomon called the public hearing to order at 7:50 PM and stated that he would 250 read the complaint from Mr. Simmons and then ask Mr. Simmons to sign the complaint 251 as the copy before him was not signed.. Chair Salomon asked Mr. Simmons if the 252 complaint he read was the complaint that he wrote. Mr. Simmons stated that it was. 253 Chair Salomon then asked the Board if he would like Mr. Simmons to sign a copy and 254 they stated yes. Mr. Simmons relied upon his written complaint. 255 256 Chair Salomon asked Mr. Simmons to go to the podium and that he had five minutes in 257 which to present his case. 258 Chair Salomon then called Dr. Arena to the podium and stated that he had five minutes 259 in which to present his case. Dr. Arena asked Chair Salomon to recuse himself as he has 260 represented Mr. Simmons in the past. Chair Salomon stated the he felt it was important 261 for him to sit as this was the first test of the Code of Ethics and that he would not vote 262 unless there was a tie. 263 Dr. Arena stated that any comments that he made at a public meeting were as a citizen 264 and not as a member of any board. Dr. Arena explained that on the night of the Select 265 Board meeting of April 8, 2009, Chair Salomon asked for any comments, pro or con on 266 Mr. Simmons as a candidate for alternate to the Conservation Commission. Dr. Arena's 267 stated he was answering Chair Salomon's request for any comments that were "con," and 268 that he was merely making a statement as a citizen regarding Mr. Simmons being a 269 bully. 270 Dr. Arena stated that when he spoke to Selectman Rineman at Select Board meeting and 271 told him that he was going to speak slowly, he stated that because he has a tendency to 272 speak fast, and that he was making it a point to speak more slowly. 273 Dr. Arena stated that he was at an informal meeting when he asked the question "what idiot sponsored that?" when referring to the statement regarding the term Selectman 274 275 being changed to Select Board. Dr. Arena stated that he was giving his opinions which 276 are subject to the First Amendment of our Constitution that says he has a right to free 277 speech and to render an opinion as a citizen. 278 Dr. Arena stated that when he was at the May 2007 ZBA he was not allowed to speak 279 and that when he sat down he spoke to Phil Wilson in a low voice, referring to Susan

281 Wilson, and to no one else. 282 Dr. Arena stated that there is no cause for him to be accused by his accuser because he 283 spoke as a citizen. He further stated that the Board must look at this as a frivolous 284 complaint which is very serious and if necessary he will carry it on. 285 286 Chair Salomon asked Selectman Coutu and Selectman Rineman if they had the need to 287 call any additional witnesses. Neither Selectman Coutu nor Selectman Rineman had 288 any. 289 Selectman Rineman stated that he felt that Dr. Arena violated section 3.04 (b) of the 290 Code of Ethics. Chair Salomon suggested that because section 3.04 (b) calls for a 291 preliminary investigation which the Board has waived, that section 4.02 (f) iii would be 292 more appropriate. Selectman Rineman and Selectman Coutu agreed with Chair 293 Salomon. 294 Chair Salomon stated that the informal procedure should be to find that some or all of 295 the alleged comments were made, and that all public officials, himself included, should 296 take the whereas clause to heart, and refrain from making any kind of personal attacks. 297 298 Motion by Selectman Rineman to submit a letter to Mr. Fournier that having 299 gone through the hearing, the Board decided in this case there may have been 300 improper conduct based on clear, convincing evidence, but does not justify 301 disciplinary action and should be resolved by informal methods, and that the 302 Board has had the discussion that those informal methods would be that the 303 Board would ask all of the town employees and citizens who sit on boards, 304 committees and commissions to keep in mind the whereas clause regarding 305 being civil to their fellow citizens. Motion fails. 306 Motion by Selectman Coutu to, based on testimony given, and based on his 307 recollection of what was said, there were in his judgment comments made that 308 clearly were, however said, would have been better if they had not been said, 309 suggest the Board agree simply to ask Dr. Arena to be conscious of fact that 310 there is a provision in the Code of Ethics whereas clause that should be 311 avoided, and everyone should avoid comments and language that might be, 312 because the whereas clause considered either offensive or pejorative, and 313 because the whereas clause exists that the Board would ask that it be 314 purported with, in respect to, any future meetings whether it be as an official

in office sitting on the board or as a citizen with respect to making comments

Smith, and said "she's an idiot." Dr. Arena stated that he was speaking only to Mr.

280

315

316		at a public meeting. He further stated it should be made clear that there is a			
317		specific provision in the Code of Ethics in the whereas clause that seeks to			
318		prohibit innuendoes, inferences and derogatory comments and simply ask Dr.			
319		Arena to be more mindful of the whereas clause in future meetings and			
320		participation he may be involved in. Motion by Selectman Coutu to also			
321		provide a summary report of the discussion. Seconded by Selectman Rineman.			
322		Motion carries 2-0 with the Chair abstaining.			
323					
324		Phil Wilson asked to be recognized and read a summary of three Supreme Court			
325		decisions.			
326					
327		Don Gould asked to be recognized and stated that the cases Mr. Wilson read are libel			
328		cases and the matter discussed was not a libel case.			
329					
330	5.	Items Laid on the Table ²			
331		5.1. Select Board Rules and Procedures			
332		5.2. Personnel Policy Review			
333		5.3. Review of Forms of Government			
334		No votes or action taken.			
335	6.	Report of the Town Administrator			
336		Town Administrator was out of town and therefore no report.			
337	7.	Minutes			
338		7.1. Regular Meeting May 11, 2009			
339		Motion by Selectman Coutu to accept the May 11, 2009 minutes with the changes			
340		noted. Seconded by Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.			
341		7.2. Regular Meeting May 27, 2009			
342		Motion by Selectman Coutu to approve the May 27, 2009 minutes with the change			
343		on line 46 to insert the word "carry" after the word "which." Seconded by			
344		Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.			
345					
346	8.	Adjournment			
347		Motion by Selectman Coutu to adjourn the meeting at 9:15 PM. Seconded by			
348		Selectman Rineman. Motion carries 3-0.			
349					

 2 Items laid on the table shall remain on the table until a member of the Board of Selectmen makes a motion to remove such item from the table.

250	D 4 C - 11 1 ' - 4 1
350	Respectfully submitted,
351	Janet Facella